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## Regions Review

- A region is a (typed) collection
- Regions are the cross product of
- An index space
- A field space
- So far we've seen regions with N -dim index spaces
- E.g., int1d, int2d, int3d

Example 19


## Equal Partitioning

- Recall: There is a simple way to partition a region into chunks of (approximately) equal size
- Example 20


## Partitioning By Field

- A field can be used as a coloring
- Write elements of the color space into the field $f$
- Using an arbitrary computation
- Then call partition(region.f, colors)
- Example 27


## Partitioning, Digression

-Why do we want to partition data?

- For parallelism
- We will launch many tasks over many subregions
- A problem
- We often need to partition multiple data structures in a consistent way
- E.g., given that we have partitioned the nodes a particular way, that will dictate the desired partitioning of the edges


## Dependent Partitioning

- Distinguish two kinds of partitions
- Independent partitions
- Computed from the parent region, using, e.g.,
- partition(equals, ... )
- partition(region.field, ...)
- Dependent partitions
- Computed using another partition


## Dependent Partitioning Operations

- Image
- Use the image of a field in a partition to define a new partition
- Preimage
- Use the preimage of a field in a partition
- Set operations
- Form new partitions using the intersection, union, and set difference of other partitions


## Image

- Computes elements reachable via a field lookup
- Equivalent to semi-join in relational algebra
- Can be applied to index space or another partition
- Computation is distributed based on location of data
- Regent understands relationship between partitions

- Can check safety of region relation assertions at compile time



## Preimage

- Opposite of image - computes elements that reach a given subspace
- Preserves disjointness
- Multiple images/preimages can be combined
- can capture complex task access patterns
- Limitation: no transitive reachability



## Example 21

- Partition the nodes
- Equal partitioning
- Then partition the edges
- Preimage of the source node of each edge
- For each node subregion r, form a subregion of those edges where the source node is in $r$


## Example 22

- Partition the edges
- Equal partitioning
- Then partition the nodes
- Image of the source node of each edge
- For each edge subregion $r$, form a subregion of those nodes that are source nodes in $r$


## Discussion

- Note that these two examples compute almost the same partition
- Can derive the node partition from the edges, or vice versa


## Example 23

- What would the example look like if we partitioned based on the destination node?
- Let's find out ...


## Set Operations: Set Difference

- Partition the edges
- Equal partition
- Compute the source and destination node partitions of the previous two examples
- The final node partition is the set difference
- What does this compute?
- Examples 23-25


## Set Operations: Set Intersection

- Partition the edges
- Equal partition
- Compute the source \& destination node partitions
- Final node partition is the intersection
- What does this compute?
- Example 26


## Example 28

- Same as the last example
- Once the final node partition is computed, compute a partition of the edges such that each edge subregion has only the edges connecting the nodes in the corresponding node subregion


## Examples 29

- Pointers point into a particular region
- And this is part of the pointer's type
- Partitioning can change which region(s) a pointer points to
- May lead to typechecking issues, depending on which region you want to use for an operation


## Example 30

- The right way to fix type issues is to use type casts
- Very analogous to downcasting from a more general object type to a more specific object type in an object-oriented language
- But, this solution does not work!
- Casting of region types is not implemented


## Example 31

- The fix/workaround is to use wild in field space arguments when allocating regions
- Wild effectively turns off typechecking for those region arguments.


## Backing Up ...

- Regent's partitioning mechanisms are very different from other languages
- What do those other languages provide?


## One Extreme: Simplicity

- PGAS languages (e.g. X10, UPC, Chapel) generally provide only simple array-based distribution methods
- e.g. block, cyclic, blockcyclic
- Pros:
- simple for programmer to describe
- simple for compiler to verify consistency
- simple for runtime to implement
- Cons:
- no support for irregular (or even semi-regular) data structures
- no support for irregular partitions of structured data
- no support for aliased or multiple partitions


## Other Extreme: Expressivity

- Initial Legion partitioning used general-purpose coloring object for ALL partitioning operations
- Application able to color each element any way it wants
- Pros:
- support for arbitrary irregularity in data and/or partitioning
- support for aliased partitions, multiple partitions
- Cons:
- significant programmer effort to describe even simple partitions
- no ability for compiler to check that related regions are partitioned consistently
- high runtime overhead for computing and querying partitions
- manipulation of coloring was serial, limited to single node


## Dependent Partitioning

- A carefully chosen middle ground between these two extremes
- Supports both structured and unstructured domains
- Allows arbitrary independent partitions to be computed by the application
- But uses field data to capture intent rather than a coloring
- Index-based partitions cover PGAS-like simple cases
- Provides an analyzable set of operations to compute dependent partitions from other partitions
- Based on reachability and/or set operations
- Consistency of dependent partitions can be verified at compile time
- And can be executed in parallel


## Programmer Productivity

- Lines of code for computation of dependent partitions in Regent applications:

|  | Dependent <br> Original <br> Partitioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Application | LOC | LOC | Reduction |
| PENNANT | 163 | 6 | $96 \%$ |
| Circuit | 159 | 8 | $95 \%$ |
| MiniAero | 51 | 7 | $86 \%$ |

- Not a perfect metric
- Take with however much salt you like...


## Summary

- The built-in partitioning operations are
- Expressive
- Can execute in parallel
- Can be analyzed by the Regent implementation
- Except for explicit coloring objects
- Inherently not parallel

